UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,230	11/25/2003	Eugene F. Giszczynski	11538US02	6692	
Cheryl F. Benes	7590 06/08/2007	EXAMINER			
Tellabs Operati	ons, Inc.	ZHU, BO HUI ALVIN			
1415 West Diehl Road, MS 16 Naperville, IL 60563			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Timper, may 122 C			2616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/722,230		GISZCZYNSKI ET. AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	***************************************		
		Bo Hui A. Zh	ıu	2616			
The MAILING L Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	pears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess		
A SHORTENED STA WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the se Any reply received by the O	TUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'IGER, FROM THE MAILING Davailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 the mailing date of this communication. Cified above, the maximum statutory period vet or extended period for reply will, by statute ffice later than three months after the mailing ent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event will apply and will e e, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION, however, may a reply be timexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 25 N	lovember 200	<u>'3</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is F	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accor	dance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quay	/le, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>18-38</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	wn from cons					
Application Papers							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) Applicant may no Replacement dra	n is objected to by the Examine filed on <u>25 November 2003</u> is/a at request that any objection to the twing sheet(s) including the correct laration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ acc drawing(s) be tion is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119						
a) All b) Son 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies o application	nt is made of a claim for foreign me * c) None of: copies of the priority document copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document on from the International Bureau detailed Office action for a list	ts have been ts have been prity documen u (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	age		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cit		4)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's3) Information Disclosure SPaper No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/722,230

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 18, 19, 21 26, 28 32 and 34 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huey et al. (US 5,467,349).
 - (1) with regard to claims 18 and 31:

Huey et al. discloses a method for monitoring a virtual path (see column 6, lines 23 – 49 and Fig. 7) comprising: originating at least one of operations, administrative and maintenance calls (virtual channel between 176 and 172 on Fig. 7; column 6, lines 32 – 38) at a source network element (176 on Fig. 7) on the virtual path (178 on Fig. 7); and monitoring for the at least one of the operations, administrative and maintenance calls at the source network element on the virtual path (monitoring circuit at 176; column 6, lines 46 – 49).

Huey et al. does not disclose the method being applied in a ring network.

Huey et al. however discloses in admitted prior art (Fig. 1) a ring network (10 on Fig. 1) that comprises ATM switches.

It would have been desirable to apply the method disclosed by Huey et al. in a ring network because it would eliminate the possibility of having a single point of failure in the network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 2616

at the time of the invention to implement the method as taught by Huey et al. into a ring network so as to eliminate the possibility of having single point of failure in the network.

(2) with regard to claims 19, 26 and 32:

Huey et al. further discloses originating a second at least one of operations, administrative and maintenance calls (virtual channel between 176 and 174 on Fig. 7) at an intermediate network element (174 on Fig. 7; with the add/drop method of Huey et al, 174 can add or drop a virtual circuit by itself) on the virtual path (178 on Fig. 7); and monitoring for the second at least one of the operations, administrative and maintenance calls at the source network element on the virtual path (monitoring circuit at 176, column 6, lines 46 – 49).

It would have been desirable to apply the method disclosed by Huey et al. in a ring network because it would eliminate the possibility of having a single point of failure in the network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the method as taught by Huey et al. into a ring network so as to eliminate the possibility of having single point of failure in the network

(3) with regard to claims 21, 28 and 34:

Huey et al. further discloses that the virtual path is unidirectional (see column 2, lines 39 - 40).

(4) with regard to claims 22, 24 and 29:

Huey et al. further discloses that assigning the at least one of operations, administrative and maintenance calls and the second at least one of operations, administrative and maintenance calls, to the virtual path (virtual path 178 is used for transmitting cells).

It would have been desirable to apply the method disclosed by Huey et al. in a ring network because it would eliminate the possibility of having a single point of failure in the network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the method as taught by Huey et al. into a ring network so as to eliminate the possibility of having single point of failure in the network

(5) with regard to claims 23, 25, 30, 36 and 38:

Huey et al. discloses in the add/drop method checking cells arrived at the source network element to find the at least one of operations, administrative and maintenance calls (see column 6, line 50 – column 7, line 14 and Fig. 8, which discloses the process for checking arrival cells that belong to different connections)

It would have been desirable to apply the method disclosed by Huey et al. in a ring network because it would eliminate the possibility of having a single point of failure in the network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the method as taught by Huey et al. into a ring network so as to eliminate the possibility of having single point of failure in the network

(6) with regard to claims 35 and 37:

Huey et al. discloses a ring network (10 in Fig. 1) and in the add/drop method discloses using a single virtual path (178 on Fig. 7) to connect all ATM switches in a network (172, 174, 176 on Fig. 7); and the intermediate network element (178 on Fig. 7) is able to add virtual connection to the virtual path that terminates at the source network element (176 on Fig. 7).

It would have been desirable to apply the method disclosed by Huey et al. in a ring network because it would eliminate the possibility of having a single point of failure

Art Unit: 2616

in the network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the method as taught by Huey et al. into a ring network so as to eliminate the possibility of having single point of failure in the network

- 3. Claims 20, 27 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huey et al. (US 5,467,349) in view of Cappellari et al. (US 5,557,611).
 - (1) with regard to claims 20, 27 and 33:

Huey et al. does not disclose performing statistical multiplexing on the virtual path.

Cappellari et al. teaches using statistical multiplexing (see column 4, lines 37 – 43).

It would have been desirable to use statistical multiplexing technique because it would provide a saving on the bandwidth assigned to the virtual paths. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use statistical multiplexing method as taught by Cappellari et al. in the system of Huey et al. so as to improve bandwidth efficiency.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bo Hui A. Zhu whose telephone number is (571)270-1086. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571)272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,230

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BZ May 23, 2007

HASSAN KIZOU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 6